

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,224	08/19/2003	Takahiro Sajima	3673-0156P	3461
2292	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & B	LEE, EDMUND H		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Cuy

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A-fice Commence	10/643,224	SAJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
· · · · ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evenines				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP 2002-159598. JP 2002-159598 teaches the claimed apparatus and process as evidenced by the taught embodiments shown in figs 1-3.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-159598. The above teachings of JP 2002-159598 are incorporated hereinafter. In regards to claim 3, a central angle of 1 degree to 8 degrees would have been obviously and readily determined through routine experimentation by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Further, claimed central angle is generally well-known in the golf ball molding art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the central

Art Unit: 1732

angle of JP 2002-159598 within the claimed range in order to ensure mold durability without compromising ball quality. In regards to claim 4, rounded boundary corners are well-known in the molding art as means for distributing pressure. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to round the boundary corners of JP 2002-159598 in order to distribute mold pressure. In regard to claim 6, the claimed $\Sigma\Phi$ would have been obviously and readily determined through routine experimentation by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Further, the claimed $\Sigma\Phi$ is generally well-known in the golf ball molding art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the $\Sigma\Phi$ of JP 2002-159598 within the claimed range in order to form a high quality golf ball.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that JP 2002-159598 does not teach the claimed $\Sigma\Phi$ is well thought out and presented, however applicant has not considered the embodiment taught by figs 1 and 2 of JP 2002-159598. Applicant should note that figs 1 and 2 of JP 2002-159598 are identical to applicant's figs 1 and 2. Since applicant argues that applicant's fig 5 shows a $\Sigma\Phi$ within the claimed range and applicant's fig 5 is based on applicant's fig 1, it is deduced that figs 1 and 2 of JP 2002-159598 teaches a $\Sigma\Phi$ within the claimed range.

Art Unit: 1732

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

Wender 3/0/de.